CHITUNGWIZA CENTRAL HOSPITAL



PMU EVALUATION REVIEW

Tender number: CHIT/ SURGICALS 23/2024.

Tender Description: Supply and Delivery of Surgicals.

PMU Committee Member Evaluation process wa	s done accordingly.
Name: Madzimure Prosper Date: Oblin long	Signature:
Procyrement Specialist Review Alumned for procee of tender.	dry & closure
Name: Maria T-X Date: GHAP	Signature:

CHITUNGWIZA CENTRAL HOSPITAL

Accounting Officer's Approval	
Lett according and	1 shot he
Cell off.	CHIEN NEDICAL OFFICED
Name: A Sig	nature:
Date:	P.O. BOX CZA 245 CHITUNGWIZA ZIMBABWE

MINUTES OF THE PROCUREMENT EVALUATION COMMITTEE FOR THE SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF SURGICALS HELD ON THE 3RD OF DECEMBER 2024 IN THE CMO'S BOARDROOM AT 1200HRS.

Composition

Chairperson

DR J Kasule

A/Clinical Director

Members

Ms A Nchacha Matron 111

Ms C Tapera **Pharmacist**

Mr P Takawira

Accounting Assistant

Minuting

Mr K Bvurire

Human Resources Officer

In attendance

Mrs P Kadziyanike **Procurement Officer**

Preamble

The Procurement Evaluation Committee set to adjudicate the competitive tender for the supply and delivery of surgicals **CHIT/SURGICALS 23/2024**. Fifteen companies participated in the tender.

List of Companies which participated

1 Cyclone	6 Avonstrat	11 HealthPharm
2 Charmcare	7 Investment	12 DMD
3 Elite	8 Flancon	13 Hi Care
4 Mefasa	9 Rosetronic	14 Shreesai
5 Laryscope	10 Aravas	15 Pharmatrial

Declaration of interests

Members were asked to declare if they had interests in the participating company. All the members declared that they had no interests.

Supply of surgical list

The required surgicals were populated in four lots. The lots are as follows;

Item	
Lot 1: Sutures	
Lot 2: Bandage	es
Lot 3: surgical	Sundries
Lot 4 : Medical	Accessories

Eligibility criteria and evaluation proceedings

The meeting began by a thorough check of the tender submissions made by the bidder in comparison with the requirements stated in the bidding document for the supply of surgicals for Chitungwiza Central Hospital.

The committee agreed to conduct the evaluation basing on the tender requirements which are;

Criteria	Evaluation comments
1.CR5	All Companies provided the CR5
2. CR6	All Companies Provided the CR6
3. Copy of CR14	All companies provided CR14
4. Copy of valid tax clearance	All Companies Provided the tax clearance
5.Copy of Company Profile	All Companies provided the company profiles
6. Copy of PRAZ registration	All companies have valid PRAZ Registration Certificates
certificate	
7. Bid submission sheet	All Companies provided their bid submission sheet
8 Certificate of Incorporation	All Companies provided their certificates of incorporation

All the bidders are administratively compliant and passed on the criteria for eligibility.

The financial aspect and recommendations.

1. Lot 1

Product	Price
Sutures	\$44 346.00/lot

Recommendation.

The Committee recommended that the tender for the supply and delivery of **Sutures** be awarded to **Mefasa** at a cost of \$44 346.00/lot as it is the cheapest to tender request specifications.

2. Lot 2

Product	Price
Bandages	\$35 607.50/lot

Recommendation.

The Committee recommended that the tender for the supply and delivery of **Bandages** be awarded to **HealthPharm** at a cost of \$35 607.50/lot as it is the cheapest to tender request specifications.

3. Lot 3

Product	Price
Surgical sundries	\$30 074.00

Recommendation.

The Committee recommended that the tender for the supply and delivery of **Surgical Sundries** be awarded to **Cyclone** at a cost of \$30 074.00/Lot as it is the cheapest to tender request specifications.

.4. Lot 4

Product	Price
Medical accessories	\$356 396.30

Recommendation.

The Committee recommended that the tender for the supply and delivery of **Medical** accessories be awarded to **DMD** at a cost of \$356 397.30/lot as it is the cheapest to tender request specifications.

List of Companies which failed on the financial aspect

1 Charmcare	5 Flancon	9 Pharmatrial
2 Laryscope	6 Rosetronic	10 Hi Care
3 Avonstrat	7 Aravas	11 Shreesai
4 Investmed	8 Elite	

Recommendation.

- 1) The Committee recommended that Mefesa, HealthPharm, Cyclone and DMD Health care be awarded according to their lots as recommended.
- 2) The Committee recommended that the surgicals which were not responded to be retendered.

Members' Comments

Dr J Kasule
A true reflection of what transpired. Signature Date 4/12/14
Signature Date TILITY
Ms A Nchacha
A hue reflection of the proceedings.
A hue reflection of the proceedings. Signature renauted Date 4/12/24.
Mrs C Tapera
I the reflection of the proceeding.
Signature Date CH12/2024
Signature
NA DEL 1
Mr P Takawira Agreed to what frems proved
Agreed to what from part)
Signature & Toward 12/2026