CHIREDZI TOWN COUNCIL

MINUTES OF THE PMU MEETING HELD ON 25 JULY 2024 IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER AT 09: 30 HRS

PRESENT:

- J. SITHOLE (CHARING)
- A. ZIMIRENYIKA
- T. MUPESA (STUDENT)
- E. MAMWATA (STUDENT)
- S. CHAVIZHA (MINUTING)

AGENDA

1. CTC1352/CELLPHONES/01/2024 SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF CELLPHONES

WELCOME REMARKS

The Chairman welcomed everyone to the Procurement Management Unit meeting. He explained that the purpose of the meeting was to receive evaluation minutes from evaluation committee and advise according to the PPDPA act and general regulations.

BACKGROUND

In terms of section 31: 1c of the PPDPA act 5/2017 all bids from eligible and qualified bidders are assessed according to the same criteria. The tenders were advertised in the Government gazette, EGP portal and the Sunday mail inviting domestic competitive bidders. The cell phones are needed for day to day running of council business, the shortages lead to use of personal gadgets to do council work, therefore there is need to acquire these gadgets to make work easier.

CTC1352/Cell phones/01/2024

SEE COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE ATTACHED BELOW

Pirex Trading

- Comply with the minimum requirements on commercial comparative
- Compliant to specifications on lot 2 did not comply on lot 1

Andmish electronics

Comply with the minimum requirements on commercial comparative

Compliant to specifications on both Lot 1 and Lot 2

Sunwatt Investments

- Comply with the minimum requirements on commercial comparative
- Lot 1 we need non- removable battery and they quote the one with a removable battery
- Lot 2 compliant to specifications

Innet Technologies

- Lot 1: lied on network technology and Compliant to specifications on Lot 2
- Comply with the minimum requirements on commercial comparative

Craig computers

- Did not specify on delivery period
- Lot 1 and 2 copied all technical information as it is in the tender document did not change anything

Mobitech seven

- Comply with the minimum requirements on commercial comparative
- Lot 1, lied on network technology and Lot 2 compliant to specifications

Sypremo

- Comply with the minimum requirements on commercial comparative
- Compliant to specifications on both lot 1 and 2

Global Horizon

- Comply with the minimum requirements on commercial comparative
- Compliant to specifications on both lot 1 and 2

Lacheln Solution

- Comply with the minimum requirements on commercial comparative
- Compliant to specifications on Lot 2 and did not comply on network technology on
 Lot 1

Falcon Alliance

- Comply with the minimum requirements on commercial comparative
- Compliant to specifications on both lot 1 and 2

Elsport Trading

- Comply with the minimum requirements on commercial comparative
- Did not clearly specify on technical aspects on both Lot 1 and 2

Ginawa Investments

Attach an expired Tax clearance

Ovacado

- Comply with the minimum requirements on commercial comparative
- Compliant to specifications on both lot 1 and 2

COMMENTS

Two of the thirteen companies responded did not comply some of the requirements, however eleven of them comply with the minimum requirements, some did not meet technical specifications for one of the Lots but met the other as it met all requirements on commercial comparative it will be considered on the lot it is technically compliant. Evaluation committee noticed that some of the bidders attached current cr6 certificates which indicates list of directors and secretaries which have the same information as that in cr14 and cr5 which have same information as in cr6. Therefore, the committee agreed to accept both documents as long the information required is there.

RECOMMENDATION

PMU recommended to award the tender for Lot 1: fifteen cellphones to Sypremo investments at a bid price of \$14 026.50 and Lot 2: five cell phones to Innet technologies at a bid price of \$975.00 proposed A. Zimirenyika seconded by S. Chavizha.

There being no other business the meeting ended at 10:00 Am

	25/07	24
J. SITHOLE	V	